

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/790,535	Applicant(s) KORZENSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Lorna M. Douyon	Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:

(1) Tristan A. Fuierer.

(2) Lorna M. Douyon.

Status of Application: After Non-final; Now allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 November 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Murphy

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicants' representative to incorporate the limitations of claim 9 into claim 1 to overcome prior art of record, thereby cancelling claim 9, and amend the dependency of claim 10.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)